

From owner-qrp-l@netcom.com Wed Feb 22 19:58:44 1995
Date: Wed, 22 Feb 1995 15:46:45 -0800 (PST)
From: Steven Wilson <randyw@crl.com>
Subject: "History of QRP" in the US 1924 - 1960
Message-Id: <Pine.SUN.3.91.950222154525.18756C-100000@crl11.crl.com>

I have seen a few messages on the list saying Adrian Weiss book
"History of QRP in the U.S. 1924 - 1960" was still available.

I was surprised any copies were available, I have had a copy
for many years. Ade first book "Joys of QRP" told how to build and
operate a QRP station and his second book "History of QRP" gave you
reason why we do it. This is not a construction book, but it sure
is fun to read and re-read. Two short stories (true tails ?) about
W7ZC , Pecos River QRP and Perfect Summer Plus QRPp Circa 1934 and 1936
made the book worth the original cost of \$11 to any QRP'er who enjoys
reading about the subject. I would not sell my copy for twice that.

Anyway if you want a FUN and very enjoyable book to read and if they
are really still available this is the one for the next weekend the
band is dead.

If you get a chance to get a hold of a copy don't pass it up it
really is a classic on the book shelf. I dig mine out every
winter and read it again. Maybe I should get a replacement copy,
this one is worn out.

73 de stan AK0B

From owner-qrp-l@netcom.com Wed Feb 22 20:56:18 1995
Subject: Re: 30 Meter Contests
From: brian.carling@acenet.com (Brian Carling)
Message-Id: <2a6.11846.500@acenet.com>
Date: Wed, 22 Feb 1995 18:04:00 -0500

>From: brian.carling@acenet.com

But guys, why does it HAVE to be a "contest?"
We could have a QRP ACTIVITY WEEKEND, where everyone gets on
for some pre-arranged time period and seeks to work a lot of
QRP/QRP contacts just for fun. I agree we do not need ANY
contests in the WARC bands, but let's consider and discuss this
alternative possibility.

TA>> Look for you on 10.106, 10.116, 10.120 for a real QSO, and I'll even
TA>exchange
TA>> QRP ARCI and G-QRP club numbers with you.
TA>>
TA>> Ed Manuel - N5EM
TA>> n5em@aol.com

TA>I agree with Ed on this one. The WARC bands should remain free of contest
TA>activity per the Gentleman's agreement.

TA>73 de Ted, KF8EE

TA>QRP ARCI # 8544, G-QRP # 8661

~ SLMR 2.1a ~ Practice DELIBERATE kindness and unselfish acts of love!

From owner-qrp-1@netcom.com Wed Feb 22 17:17:45 1995
Date: Wed, 22 Feb 1995 14:57:42 -0600 (CST)
From: Kevin Anderson <anderson@ncrsun7.ncr.usace.army.mil>
Subject: 30m nets in net directory
Message-Id: <Pine.SUN.3.91.950222145402.903A-100000@ncrsun7>

Just for information, the 1993-94 ARRL net directory
indicates the following nets for 30m

10115 Fleet Radio Unit Pacific (west coast), Tues & Sat @ 1500
10132 Northwest QRP Net (west coast), Mondays @ 0330
10147 Utah Relay, RTTY/PAC, Daily at 10PM local (0500Z)

Cheers/73. Kevin, KB9IUA

Kevin L. Anderson, CENCR-PD-W, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
Rock Island District Office, Planning Div.-Waterway Systems
Rock Island, Illinois 61204-2004, USA phone:(309) 794-5586
e-mail: anderson@ncrsun1.ncr.usace.army.mil

Opinions expressed here are my own and do not represent the
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers or the Federal Government.

From owner-qrp-1@netcom.com Wed Feb 22 07:18:37 1995
From: Johnson_Dan@AAC.COM
Message-Id: <9502220534.22618.AB@smrouter.AAC.COM>
Date: Tue, 21 Feb 95 21:30:00 PST

Subject: Re: 30M QRP Activity

How about combining the fox hunt with a limited ragchew or roundtable net? Begin the Fox Net with a foxhunt. The Fox finds a frequency somewhere on the 30M band for the net. The initial checkins qualify for "got the fox". Then the Fox becomes Net Control for a once-around roundtable with a query for new checkins at the end. Stations who checked in the first time could check in a second time for a follow-up comment.

"The Fox Net" has a double meaning - first, the hunt for the net (fox); second, that QRP operation requires, in general, more sly and cunning operation than QRO.

I like Paul-NA5N's idea of multiple regional nets for a couple of reasons, the most important that it avoids discouraging participants who couldn't hear each other during a single, national net.

BTW, Bob-NA4G runs a once-around roundtable net. In his informal CWIST Friday Night Fist Function (for Boatanchors), he takes checkins. Then everyone gets a turn to make a single (usually) transmission to which Bob replies and then calls the next checkin. Bob's replies are clever in that he usually provides enough context for "fills" if you miss the checkin's transmission.

4!3!*2/1+ de Dan-KC4EWT (VA)

From owner-qrp-l@netcom.com Wed Feb 22 20:42:49 1995

Subject: Re: 79'er Results

From: brian.carling@acenet.com (Brian Carling)

Message-Id: <2a6.11848.500@acenet.com>

Date: Wed, 22 Feb 1995 18:04:00 -0500

>From: brian.carling@acenet.com

msdooley@collie.aud.alcatel.com WRITES:

MSD>Now this is bizarre. My antenna is ALSO a 125 foot end fed long wire...
MSD>My tuner is an MFJ941D.

MSD>Mike KE4PC

MSD>Texas

Hi Mike. Well, how does it work for you? I originally put mine up for 160 metre contest last month, but I find it to work very well on all bands 160 - 10 m. Tunes up fine with the tuner, and actually seems to radiate. It hears better than my 40 metre bent dipole up 6 feet too, which is an added bonus for me!

~ SLMR 2.1a ~ Practice DELIBERATE kindness and unselfish acts of love!

From owner-qrp-1@netcom.com Wed Feb 22 18:54:01 1995
Message-Id: <m0rhPbG-0001YgC@persoft.persoft.com>
Date: Wed, 22 Feb 1995 16:30:53 -0600
From: jason@persoft.com (Jason F. Penn)
Subject: Any QRPers in Tampa/Clearwater FL?

Greetings. I'll be in Clearwater Florida the week of Feb 27. I'll be staying at a place called "On Top of The World". I'll have a Sierra and some HTs along for ham toys. Any INET QRPers in the area? If so, drop me a note. Perhaps we can visit. Also, if anyone knows of interesting ham, electronic, or surplus stores in the area please let me know about them. Thanks!

73 de Jason N9RPT
--

Jason F. Penn N9RPT | Persoft, Inc. | jason@persoft.com
Whenever I want to find something, it's always in the last place I look.

From owner-qrp-1@netcom.com Wed Feb 22 11:04:04 1995
Date: Wed, 22 Feb 1995 08:33:15 -0500 (EST)
From: David Moody <MOODY@Admin.Rose-Hulman.Edu>
Subject: Re: contesting on WARC
Message-Id: <01HNCDTPPJ56QPEYWL@ADMIN.Rose-Hulman.EDU>

At the risk of wasting bandwidth:

NO!

Besides, there aren't many places to go if you want to avoid certain contests or lids for that matter. I enjoy the WARC bands, especially 30m. Where else can you go with a top QRO of 200W, good activity by mostly courteous operators, no BCAST QRM, and good DX, oh and most importantly, CW! Yeah I know that there are other digital modes mostly up in the high end, but it is easy to work in between them if needed.

Don't get me wrong, I like the novice/tech+ portions of the bands also, but 80m n/t+ is not great for DX, we all know the problems with 40m, and 15m and 10m are at frequencies where the sunspots seem to have more of an effect on operating time. But I digress...
I wouldn't mind seeing more activity on 30m, I just don't want it to get out of hand. Nothing organized, just an effort by more people to use the

band more.

During the great JA opening on Saturday PM of the DX contest last weekend, I went up into the n/t+ portion of 15m and heard a JA calling CQ DX. I answered him. He wasn't in the contest, but would give a contest exchange if I wanted it, even though he wanted to rag chew. I took about a 30 min break at that point and we had a great QSO. Much better than 599 100 followed by QSL 599 IN!!! Breaks like that are a very good thing for one's sanity, and if he hadn't been there and I wanted a break I would have gone over to 30m or to 17m if the club antenna would work there. Hmm, need to remedy that.

Oh well, that is my two milliwatts worth.

```
-----
David A. Moody          | E-mail: David.Moody@Rose-Hulman.edu
Admin. Programmer/Analyst | Finger: mgrdam@crux.Rose-Hulman.edu
Rose-Hulman Inst. of Tech. | Amateur Call: KD8NY (CW QRP) ex-WB9MMD
Terre Haute, IN USA 47803 | (VMS Rules!!! (but RSTS was fun.))
Wk Ph: 812.877.8183      |
-----
```

Any facts expressed within belong to everybody.
Any opinions expressed within are my own and are not
necessarily the same as my employer, family, friends, etc.
It is up to you to know the difference.

From owner-qrp-l@netcom.com Wed Feb 22 11:58:11 1995
From: PDouglas12@aol.com
Date: Wed, 22 Feb 1995 10:58:17 -0500
Message-Id: <950222105815_28989266@aol.com>
Subject: Dayton attendees

To All:

I have quite a collection of QRP-list subscribers comin to Dayton. We are obviously a hotbed of ham related activity. By my count there are already 35 hams on the following list. I am no longer able to keep up with email confirmations of additions to the list, as they are coming in at an amazing rate. Also, I think we really want to assure that the list is the subset of Dayton attendees who are subscribers here. So I would request that each subscriber email me individually, rather than have one guy send me a list of ten, some of whom I don't really recognize as subscribers. The list really isn't very exclusive but we really do want subscribers, don't we? Also, the Dayton Attendees message traffic on the list must be getting on everyone's nerves, so please do not cc the list with your request to be added. Email me direct at pdouglas12@aol.com. Here's who's coming so far:

Ted Albert KF8EE
Peter Breedlow NN9K

Wayne Burdick N6KR
Howie Cahn WB2CPU
Jim Cates WA6GER
Gary Diana N2JGU
Preston Douglas WJ2V
Ron Doyle N8VAR
Jim Everhart N2CX
David Feldman WB0GAZ
Clark Fishman WA2UNN
Mike Flanagan KB8NKX
Bruce Florip AA7ARY/6
Bob Gobrlick V01DRB/WA6ERB
Doug Hendricks KI6DS
Steve Hideg N8HSC
Bill Kelsey N8ET
Hank Kohl K8DD
Ed Manuel N5EM
David Meacham W6EMD
Peter Meier WK8S
Felton "Mitch" Mitchell WA4OSR
Bruce Moscolino W6TOY/3
Michael Rioux NW1J
Eric Swartz WA6HHQ
Jim Stafford W4QO
Tom Stafford N9YBC
Monte "Ron" Stark KU7Y
Buck Switzer N8CQA
Dick Szakonyi KA3ZOW
Katy Szakonyi N3SAD
Paul Valko WB8ZIL
Nils Young WB8IJN
Tom N9DD (need last name)

Any correction/additions? Please email me and I will keep a running list. I will not post again until early next week. I am saving all list request messages and assembling by hand, so mistakes are certain to happen. If I louse up your name/call, just email me.

Also, I suspect we will have a lot of us coming to the QRP banquet.

Whoever is running it ought to be ready for a much bigger turnout with the ranks swelled by our group!

Finally, I have the following suggestion for eyeball ID of our group. I can make up stickers with "QRP-L/INTERNET" plus your name with good old Print Shop and my color inkjet, and bring them to the hospitality suite.

Most of us will already be wearing name and call hats anywy, so this will go on the hat, maybe. What say?? Preston WJ2V

From owner-qrp-l@netcom.com Wed Feb 22 23:23:00 1995
Subject: Fox report

From: brian.carling@acenet.com (Brian Carling)
Message-Id: <2a6.11851.500@acenet.com>
Date: Wed, 22 Feb 1995 18:04:00 -0500

>From: brian.carling@acenet.com

HS>First, Congrats Bob, W03B on working Andy, F3NB. He gave you a 559, not
HS>bad, I only got a 449 out of him right before you worked him :-)

HS>I got home late tonight and worked the Foxy one, KU7Y (Ron) and W03B.
HS>Didnt hear any of the others. Pity. :-(

HS>Working the F3 made up for it however. Running 5 Watts with the TS-50
HS>at home (no /m tonite) with the Delta Loop.

HS>I heard several Europeans down the band, so condx must be good.
HS>Smitty, NA5K

Sigh! Are there EVER going to be any FOXes that are EAST of the
Mississippi or come on BEFORE 10 p.m. EST!?

~ SLMR 2.1a ~ Practice DELIBERATE kindness and unselfish acts of love!

From owner-qrp-l@netcom.com Wed Feb 22 11:42:15 1995
From: "Greg Taylor" <GTAYLOR@TAEX003N.tamu.edu>
Date: Wed, 22 Feb 1995 09:37:59 CDT
Subject: Kit Supplier List?
Message-Id: <A9A20E2339@taex003n.tamu.edu>

Does there reside anyplace on the network a current list of kit / part
suppliers? I think the ARRL Handbook has a list of part suppliers and I
seem to remember QQ had a listing a year or so ago but just wonder if there
is anything more current out there anywhere.

Tnx, 72 Greg KD4HZ

From owner-qrp-l@netcom.com Wed Feb 22 20:02:21 1995
Message-Id: <3002582325.0.p01599@psilink.com>
Date: Wed, 22 Feb 95 18:44:31 +0000
From: "OPS JRF KC1FB" <p01599@psilink.com>
Subject: My NORCAL 40A Arrived!

Mine is here....it looks just great...very well done!

With everything included it goes to the head of the list of kits to build, since I don't have to drill and ream any holes in cookie containers.

Thanks, for all the work that went into this offering.

Jim-KC1FB.....99.99% QRP

From owner-qrp-1@netcom.com Wed Feb 22 20:35:23 1995
Date: Wed, 22 Feb 95 18:13:09 EST
From: harry_chase@smtpgw1.windata.com (harry chase)
Message-Id: <9501227935.AA793505589@smtpgw1.windata.com>
Subject: Need Varactor diode source

Try Alpha industries for the varactors you want. They have a large line of hyperabrupt silicon diodes and also GaAs diodes. You might be interested in their CKV2100 series, optimized specifically for linear tuning slopes in VCO's from 200 MHz thru 10 GHz, depending on the diode selected. The DKV6500 series is a leaded pkg for lower freqs, and the SMV-1200 series is a surface-mount pkg intended for low thru high UHF ranges.

Alpha can be reached at 617-935-5150, ask for sales dept to get catalogs.

There are also many other sources of diodes, Loral/FSI here in Mass., and of course plenty of Far Eastern mfrs... The ones I mention here are ones I have used.

You didnt say what frequency you needed, but Alpha will have something thats useful from HF thru 24 GHz and maybe higher.

Let us know what you are building! -- I do a lot of home-brewing of Local Oscillators for ham projects.

Harry Chase WA1VVH

From owner-qrp-1@netcom.com Wed Feb 22 17:49:42 1995
Message-Id: <n1418667566.78888@msmailgw1.arlut.utexas.edu>
Date: 22 Feb 1995 12:07:19 -0600
From: "rohre" <rohre@msmailgw1.arlut.utexas.edu>
Subject: Points of clarification on T0-39 sinks

I just looked at the illustrated T0-39 and noted it is a miniature flanged package; its point of similarity to the T0-3 is flanged packages have the die on the flange, and the lowest thermal resistance is through the die to the flange underneath. If you are lucky, they "may" have put silicon grease inside the top cap, and thus have a way for any sink clamped on the top cap or can to do some good by being in a thermal direct path to the die, not relying

on the path can to flange to die ONLY.

A good point was made that the size being close to the diameter of T0-5 cans, perhaps a 5 size sink would work. There are some with a vertical slot so the diameter can be expanded, and they have horizontal disks to dissipate the heat.

There are some with vertical fins with a slotted side on the can gripping section, and these may work. The use may not be effective unless the heat transfer grease is present between the top of the die and the can. One thing about home building, you try it and maybe it will work! Does anyone have some defunct T0-39 devices that they would be willing to investigate as to the grease above the die? The base of the cans can be cut open with an Xacto razor saw, or jewelers saw. We did this to T0-5's in the 60's to make l.e.d.'s of them before commercial l.e.d.'s were available!

73/72 Stuart K5KVH rohre@arlut.utexas.edu

From owner-qrp-1@netcom.com Thu Feb 23 05:40:20 1995
Message-Id: <199502230101.UAA07340@jfwhome.funhouse.com>
Subject: Re: Points of clarification on T0-39 sinks
Date: Wed, 22 Feb 1995 20:01:29 -0500
From: "John F. Woods" <jfw@jfwhome.funhouse.com>

> I just looked at the illustrated T0-39 and noted it is a miniature flanged
> package; its point of similarity to the T0-3 is flanged packages have the die
> on the flange, and the lowest thermal resistance is through the die to the
> flange underneath. If you are lucky, they "may" have put silicon grease
> inside the top cap, and thus have a way for any sink clamped on the top cap
> or can to do some good by being in a thermal direct path to the die, not
> relying on the path can to flange to die ONLY.

Delving more deeply into my databooks here, I find the following:

Apparently the primary heat conduction path in both T0-39 and T0-5 packages is through the bottom of the can. The T0-39CE package I mentioned has the emitter wired to the can (the transistor chip is mounted on a BeO insulator to make this possible); the advantage is that the can can then be soldered directly to a grounded heat sink if it is in a grounded emitter amp circuit. The CE package has somewhat better junction-to-case thermal resistance, and significantly better case-to-ambient thermal resistance when soldered to the heat sink.

Motorola's Small-signal Semiconductors databook seems to indicate that they don't actually make any "T0-5" transistors, boosting my belief that "T0-5" and "T0-39" are really the same thing. I don't seem to have any transistor databooks for anyone but Motorola, though; I'll have to try to acquire some (assuming anyone else still makes transistors :-).

From owner-qrp-1@netcom.com Wed Feb 22 17:07:37 1995

From: RobCap@aol.com
Date: Wed, 22 Feb 1995 15:58:37 -0500
Message-Id: <950222155733_29243534@aol.com>
Subject: Project Lettering

I'll throw in my 2c on lettering my home-made QRP rigs. I use rub-on dry transfer lettering from the local hobby shop. A packet of lettering cost about \$5, and has lasted me for years. I use the font Helvetica 10 pt.

However the lettering should not be considered permanent without a protective finish. Over time, it will chip and smudge. So I've always used my wife's clear nail polish as a protective finish.

The trick to applying the nail polish is to use three coats, with each successive coat applied after the prior coat has dried (about 15 minutes). I have also found that it's important to apply each coat with a *single swipe* of nail polish, because dabbing on multiple swipes causes the lettering to smear.

You can practice on the inside case of your QRP rig for practice until you get the hang of it.

73,

Rob, WA3ULH

From owner-qrp-1@netcom.com Wed Feb 22 20:28:45 1995
Message-Id: <199502222354.AA25383@zia.aoc.nrao.edu>
Date: Wed, 22 Feb 1995 16:54:41 -0700
From: Paul Harden <pharden@aoc.nrao.edu>
Subject: Project Lettering

Rob WA3ULH discussed using clear fingernail polish to protect rub-off letters from ... well, rubbing off. DATAK (who makes rub-off letters for electronics) also has a clear protective goop with brush. Frankly, the clear fingernail polish is about the same and probably much cheaper.

Another alternative is to use KRYLON No. 1306 WORKABLE FIXATIF spray coating. It is available at art and office supplies (vice a hardware store). A can will last for years. You spray it on just like paint. It goes on as a shiny coat. I usually put on a rather light first coat to just bond the letters, then a heavier 2nd and/or 3rd coat. If you put a real heavy 1st coat, the letters can dissolve! With a light 1st coat, let it dry, then dump it on, works very well. Its nice in that it puts an even protective coat over the entire panel surface. Each coat dries in a few minutes (no overnight thing).

The Fixatif is meant for protecting pencil sketches. I have used it on pencil drawn schematics of ham projects ... put on a light single coat, and the pencil won't smear and its quasi-water proof. It also works GREAT for weak photocopies. If you make a photocopy of a data sheet or schematic (or preparing camera ready art), the Fixatif slightly dissolves the graphite on the photocopy, blending it together for an impressive look. Also, the graphite won't thereafter flake-off. On a previous suggestion of doing the front panel lettering on a PC and photocopying onto a clear plastic carrier, I have used the Fixatif to bond the graphite to these plastic sheets. There is also a drafting film available with a self adhesive back which can be removed after photocopying. Its kinda a foggy white. The Krylon, if applied a little heavy, seems to convert the foggy matte look into more clear and transparent. The backing can be removed to expose the adhesive and applied (stuck) to the panel directly. The Krylon coating will help keep the letters from flaking off.

Paul NA5N

From owner-qrp-l@netcom.com Wed Feb 22 10:57:07 1995
Date: Wed, 22 Feb 1995 08:04:32 -0700 (MST)
From: "James P. Rybak" <jrybak@mesa5.Mesa.Colorado.EDU>
Subject: Received NORCAL 40A Kit
Message-Id: <Pine.3.89.9502220818.B2657-0100000@mesa5.Mesa.Colorado.EDU>

I received my NORCAL 40A kit by Priority Mail yesterday. The kit and instruction manual look first class in every respect. I am not sure how the NORCAL folks were able to produce such a first rate kit at such a low price. All involved in this project are to be commended. I hope to have time to put the kit together this weekend.

73,

Jim Rybak W0KSD

From owner-qrp-l@netcom.com Wed Feb 22 23:34:43 1995
From: NYOUNG@nova.wright.edu
Date: Wed, 22 Feb 1995 19:22:20 -0400 (EDT)
Subject: Resurrecting the Argonaut
Message-Id: <01HND0HQBJKY8WXFGZ@nova.wright.edu>

It must have slipped past quite a few folks that the discussion about resurrecting the Argonaut went straight from "affordable" to "fancy extras included at no extra charge" without skipping a beat. I mean, here we are moaning the demise of the Argonaut II in terms of its outrageous cost while at the same time recommending that any subsequent resurrections should include built-in antenna tuners, microprocessor

controlled keyers and displays and speech compression -- all the fancy techno-rave stuff that doesn't come cheap and never will.

Let's face it: when it comes to small and versatile and QRP, the only thing on the show room floor that merits serious consideration is the QRP-Plus. And I say that as one who, for reasons of ergonomics, wouldn't buy a QRP-Plus if it came up and promised to wash my car, feed my cats and find my old girlfriend from the 70s in Florida. But looking at it from the perspective of one QRP-loonie who damn near choked when he heard the price of the (back then) just new Argonaut II, I have to say that the QRP-Plus is the only way to go.

Or was I missing something when one of the subscribers to this list said that the QRP-Plus was what Ten Tec should have made of the Argonaut II? And that's not a rhetorical question, in case I'm getting a grade here.

So up-front and country-simple, let's be realistic about what Ten Tec could produce for about \$600 a box. A dead-band receiver that works when the chips are down and the A-index is shot might make a great start. Sure, digital readout would be a must. Three modes, maybe, as long as two of them were CW and SSB (selectable regardless of the band) would be de rigeur. Front panel mic and headphones holes, the obligatory RF/AF & TX power controls and a couple knobs for bandswitching and meter operation (SWR/PWR/Rx Signal) would finish off the project. And it might even come in a shipping box with a return address on it. That's about all I'd ask for.

You notice that I didn't ask for antenna tuners, CMOS keyer (selectable A or B iambic modes, right?), speech compression, BP filtering, RIT (although it would be nice) or VFO memories with split-band and split frequency operation. All I talked about was a gut-bucket radio like the original Argonauts of some 25 years ago. Just the kind of radio that I used to have, the kind of radio that I sold to a ham in Ontario who stopped by the house on his way to a winter vacation in Florida... where I should have been in the first place.

So the question ultimately comes to this: Does anyone at Ten Tec read this list? They oughtta. They might figure out that all those bells and whistles are nice. But

the Argonaut -- at least in its original form -- was not a bells & whistles radio. It was just affordable, simple and pleasantly fun to operate. And we could talk to people on 'em too. Or are we discussing the passing of an era? (And that is a rhetorical question.)

73

Nils

WB8IJN

From owner-qrp-l@netcom.com Wed Feb 22 21:38:05 1995
Subject: Re: Simpson & K1MAN
From: brian.carling@acenet.com (Brian Carling)
Message-Id: <2a6.11849.500@acenet.com>
Date: Wed, 22 Feb 1995 18:04:00 -0500

To: reisert@sttng.eng.pko.dec.com
>From: brian.carling@acenet.com

RE>I still think K1MAN is broadcasting, which is prohibited by the FCC
RE>rules. It's not clear to me on what grounds he can do what he does.

RE>Thanks for passing along Glenn's letter.

RE>73 - Jim AD1C

I am not sure what this has to do with QRP Jim (you may have sent it E-mail, but I have no way of telling from the BBS here)....
Either way, K1MAN's bulletin program is NOT illegal any more than the bulletins broadcast by W1AW. If you ban K1MAN then you will have to also ban W1AW and nobody wants that. Glen is doing a good service, and the moaners are the typical 75 meter SSB loonies that yell into their overmodulated 3 kW rigs all evening with things like:
"EEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEELLO!" "EEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEELLO!"
"'ELLO RADIO!"
"HO0000WS THU PROCESSOR SOUND HERE JOHN? YOU'RE COMIN' IN 25 DOG BISCUITS HERE, YESSIR!"

Of course, some of these numbskulls resent anything related to intelligent discussion about amateur radio. K1MAN is an inconvenience to them, but he is listened to by many newcomers and oltimers who like his bulletins.

~ SLMR 2.1a ~ Practice DELIBERATE kindness and unselfish acts of love!